

JK



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
District of Maryland
Northern Division*

*Rod J. Rosenstein
United States Attorney*

*Antonio J. Reynolds
Assistant United States Attorney*

*36 South Charles Street
Fourth Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21201*

*DIRECT: 410-209-4890
MAIN: 410-209-4800
FAX: 410-962-0717
TTY/TDD: 410-962-4462
Antonio.Reynolds@usdoj.gov*

August 18, 2011

Julie Johnson, Esq.
Office of the Federal Public Defender
100 South Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Re: **United States v. James Goodwin**
Criminal No. WDO-11-0338

Dear Ms. Johnson:

This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, confirms the plea agreement which has been offered to the Defendant by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland ("this Office"). If the Defendant accepts this offer, please have him execute it in the spaces provided below. If this offer has not been accepted by August 25, 2011, it will be deemed withdrawn. The terms of the agreement are as follows:

Offense of Conviction

1. The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment now pending against him, which charges him with being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). The Defendant admits that he is, in fact, guilty of that offense and will so advise the Court.

Elements of the Offense

2. The elements of the offense to which the Defendant has agreed to plead guilty, and which this Office would prove if the case went to trial, are as follows:

- On or about February 5, 2011, in the District of Maryland, the Defendant knowingly and intentionally possessed a firearm, as that term is defined in 18

U.S.C. § 921(a)(3);

- Prior to February 5, 2011, the Defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year and his civil rights had not been restored; and
- The firearm affected interstate commerce because it was manufactured outside the State of Maryland.

Penalties

3. The maximum sentence provided by statute for the offense to which the Defendant is pleading guilty is as follows: 10 years imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, and 3 years of supervised release. In the event the Defendant is determined to have three previous convictions by any court referred to in 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) for a violent felony or serious drug offense, or both, committed on occasions separate from one another, the Defendant shall be fined under Title 18 and sentenced to a maximum term of life imprisonment and a mandatory minimum of 15 years imprisonment, 5 years of supervised release, a fine of up to \$250,000, and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the court shall not suspend the sentence of, or grant a probationary sentence to, the Defendant with respect to the conviction under Section 922(g). In addition, the Defendant must pay \$100 as a special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013, which will be due and should be paid at or before the time of sentencing. This Court may also order him to make restitution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663, 3663A, and 3664.¹ If a fine or restitution is imposed, it shall be payable immediately, unless, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3572(d), the Court orders otherwise. The Defendant understands that if he serves a term of imprisonment, is released on supervised release, and then violates the conditions of his supervised release, his supervised release could be revoked - even on the last day of the term - and the Defendant could be returned to custody to serve another period of incarceration and a new term of supervised release. The Defendant understands that the Bureau of Prisons has sole discretion in designating the institution at which the Defendant will serve any term of imprisonment imposed.

Waiver of Rights

4. The Defendant understands that by entering into this agreement, he surrenders certain rights as outlined below:

a. If the Defendant had persisted in his plea of not guilty, he would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the close assistance of competent counsel. That trial could

¹ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612, if the Court imposes a fine in excess of \$2,500 that remains unpaid 15 days after it is imposed, the Defendant shall be charged interest on that fine, unless the Court modifies the interest payment in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f)(3).

be conducted by a judge, without a jury, if the Defendant, this Office, and the Court all agreed.

b. If the Defendant elected a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve individuals selected from the community. Counsel and the Defendant would have the opportunity to challenge prospective jurors who demonstrated bias or who were otherwise unqualified, and would have the opportunity to strike a certain number of jurors peremptorily. All twelve jurors would have to agree unanimously before the Defendant could be found guilty of any count. The jury would be instructed that the Defendant was presumed to be innocent, and that presumption could be overcome only by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

c. If the Defendant went to trial, the government would have the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant would have the right to confront and cross-examine the government's witnesses. The Defendant would not have to present any defense witnesses or evidence whatsoever. If the Defendant wanted to call witnesses in his defense, however, he would have the subpoena power of the Court to compel the witnesses to attend.

d. The Defendant would have the right to testify in his own defense if he so chose, and he would have the right to refuse to testify. If he chose not to testify, the Court could instruct the jury that they could not draw any adverse inference from his decision not to testify.

e. If the Defendant were found guilty after a trial, he would have the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's pretrial and trial decisions on the admissibility of evidence to see if any errors were committed which would require a new trial or dismissal of the charges against him. By pleading guilty, the Defendant knowingly gives up the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's decisions.

f. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will be giving up all of these rights, except the right, under the limited circumstances set forth in the "Waiver of Appeal" paragraph below, to appeal the sentence. By pleading guilty, the Defendant understands that he may have to answer the Court's questions both about the rights he is giving up and about the facts of his case. Any statements the Defendant makes during such a hearing would not be admissible against him during a trial except in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement.

g. If the Court accepts the Defendant's plea of guilty, there will be no further trial or proceeding of any kind, and the Court will find him guilty.

h. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will also be giving up certain valuable civil rights and may be subject to deportation or other loss of immigration status. The Defendant recognizes that if he is not a citizen of the United States, pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to his immigration status. Under federal law, conviction for a broad range of crimes can lead to adverse immigration consequences, including automatic removal from the United States. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, however, and the Defendant understands that no one, including his/her attorney or the

Court, can predict with certainty the effect of a conviction on immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he/she wants to plead guilty regardless of any potential immigration consequences.

Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Apply

5. The Defendant understands that the Court will determine a sentencing guidelines range for this case (henceforth the “advisory guidelines range”) pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 at 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 (excepting 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(b)(1) and 3742(e)) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991 through 998. The Defendant further understands that the Court will impose a sentence pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act, as excised, and must take into account the advisory guidelines range in establishing a reasonable sentence.

Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation

6. This Office and the Defendant understand, agree and stipulate to the following Statement of Facts, which this Office would prove beyond a reasonable doubt, and to the following applicable sentencing guidelines factors:

A. Statement of Facts

On February 5, 2011, in the District of Maryland, the Defendant James Goodwin, previously having being convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, did knowingly possess a firearm in and affecting commerce.

Specifically, on February 5, 2011, officers from the Baltimore Police Department were working in a uniformed capacity and were driving in an unmarked police car in the 2500 block of Clafin Court. The officers noticed a car parked near the end of the street. A man, later identified as the Defendant, was sitting in the driver seat of the vehicle and another man was leaning into the vehicle through the passenger side window. The officers observed the man standing outside the vehicle drop what appeared to be a pill on the ground.

When the officers approached the vehicle, they recovered the dropped pill from the ground, which the officers suspected to be ecstasy. The officers saw a \$10.00 bill in the Defendant’s hand. One officer flashed his flashlight into the vehicle as another officer asked the Defendant to exit the vehicle. Once the Defendant was outside of the vehicle, an officer noticed the handle of a firearm in the pocket of the driver’s side door. The recovered gun was a

Harrington and Richardson .32 caliber revolver, serial number AE103898, loaded with six rounds of ammunition.

During a patdown of Defendant's person, the officers recovered a clear bag with green pills with stars stamped on them, which the officers suspected to be ecstasy, as well as three plastic bags, tied in a knot containing suspected marijuana. The officers also recovered \$473.00 from the Defendant's front pocket.

The officers contacted the K-9 unit. When the dogs arrived, they alerted to the vehicle, and in particular, the vehicle's center console and the pocket of the driver's side door. The officers recovered 35 yellow pills shaped like the state of New York and 31 green pills with a star stamped on them, all of which the officers suspected to be ecstasy. Subsequent lab reports confirm that all the pills in the Defendant's possession actually contained a controlled dangerous substance known as N-benzylpiperazine ("BZP").

The firearm was not manufactured in the State of Maryland and therefore affected interstate commerce. The firearm did, in fact, constitute such term as defined under 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3).

Prior to February 5, 2011, the Defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year and his civil rights had not been restored.

B. Advisory Guidelines and Criminal History

The parties stipulate and agree that the base offense level is 24, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1, because the Defendant committed the instant offense after sustaining at least two felony convictions of either a crime of violence or a controlled substance offense. The offense level is increased by 4, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1(b)(6), because the defendant possessed the firearm in connection with another felony offense, to wit: possession and distribution of a controlled dangerous substance. The total offense level for Count One is 28.

This Office does not oppose a two-level reduction in the Defendant's adjusted offense level, based upon the Defendant's apparent prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for his criminal conduct. This Office agrees to make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) for an additional one-level decrease in recognition of the Defendant's timely notification of his intention to plead guilty, resulting in an adjusted offense level of 25. This Office may oppose *any* adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if the Defendant (a) fails to admit each and every item in the factual stipulation; (b) denies involvement in the offense; (c) gives conflicting statements about his involvement in the offense; (d) is untruthful with the Court, this Office, or the

United States Probation Office; (e) obstructs or attempts to obstruct justice prior to sentencing; (f) engages in any criminal conduct between the date of this agreement and the date of sentencing; or (g) attempts to withdraw his plea of guilty.

The parties stipulate and agree that the applicable guidelines range for an adjusted offense level of 25 and a Criminal History Category IV is a term of imprisonment of **84-105 months**.

7. This Office and the Defendant agree that with respect to the calculation of the advisory guidelines range, no other offense characteristics, sentencing guidelines factors, potential departures or adjustments set forth in the United States Sentencing Guidelines are in dispute.

Rule 11 (c) (1) (C) Plea

8. The Government and the Defendant agree and stipulate pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C) that an above-guidelines sentence of **120 months' (10 years)** imprisonment in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons is the appropriate disposition of this case. This agreement does not affect the Court's discretion to impose any lawful term of supervised release or fine or to set any lawful conditions of probation or supervised release. In the event that the Court rejects this plea agreement, *either* party may elect to declare the agreement null and void. Should the Defendant so elect, he may withdraw his plea pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(5).

Obligations of the United States Attorney's Office

9. At the time of sentencing, this Office will recommend a sentence of 120 months (10 years). In exchange for the agreed 10 year sentence, the United States will not pursue other charges that arise out of the instant offense.

10. The parties reserve the right to bring to the Court's attention at the time of sentencing, and the Court will be entitled to consider, all relevant information concerning the Defendant's background, character and conduct.

Waiver of Appeal

11. In exchange for the concessions made by this Office and the Defendant in this plea agreement, this Office and the Defendant waive their rights to appeal as follows:

a. The Defendant knowingly waives all right, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 or otherwise, to appeal the Defendant's conviction;

b. The Defendant and this Office knowingly waive all right, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3742 or otherwise, to appeal whatever sentence is imposed (including the right to appeal any issues that relate to the establishment of the advisory guidelines range, the determination of the

defendant's criminal history, the weighing of the sentencing factors, and the decision whether to impose and the calculation of any term of imprisonment, fine, order of forfeiture, order of restitution, and term or condition of supervised release), except as follows: the Defendant reserves the right to appeal from any sentence greater than a term of imprisonment of 120 months; and (ii) this Office reserves the right to appeal from any sentence less than a term of imprisonment of 120 months.

c. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to prevent the Defendant or this Office from invoking the provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35(a), or from appealing from any decision thereunder, should a sentence be imposed that resulted from arithmetical, technical, or other clear error.

d. The Defendant waives any and all rights under the Freedom of Information Act relating to the investigation and prosecution of the above-captioned matter and agrees not to file any request for documents from this Office or any investigating agency.

Obstruction or Other Violations of Law

12. The Defendant agrees that he will not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local law between the date of this agreement and his sentencing in this case. In the event that the Defendant (i) engages in conduct after the date of this agreement which would justify a finding of obstruction of justice under U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, or (ii) fails to accept personal responsibility for his conduct by failing to acknowledge his guilt to the probation officer who prepares the Presentence Report, or (iii) commits any offense in violation of federal, state or local law, then this Office will be relieved of its obligations to the Defendant as reflected in this agreement. Specifically, this Office will be free to argue sentencing guidelines factors other than those stipulated in this agreement, and it will also be free to make sentencing recommendations other than those set out in this agreement. As with any alleged breach of this agreement, this Office will bear the burden of convincing the Court of the Defendant's obstructive or unlawful behavior and/or failure to acknowledge personal responsibility by a preponderance of the evidence. The Defendant acknowledges that he may not withdraw his guilty plea because this Office is relieved of its obligations under the agreement pursuant to this paragraph.

Court Not a Party

13. The Defendant expressly understands that the Court is not a party to this agreement. In the federal system, sentence is imposed by the Court, and the Court is under no obligation to accept this plea agreement. In the event the Court rejects this Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(5)(C), the Defendant will be informed that he may withdraw his plea. If he persists in the guilty plea thereafter, the Defendant understands that the disposition of the case may be less favorable than that contemplated by this agreement. The Defendant understands that neither this Office, his attorney, nor the Court can make a binding prediction or promise that the Court will accept this agreement. The Defendant agrees that no one has made such a binding prediction or promise.


Entire Agreement

14. This letter supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the Defendant and, together with the Sealed Supplement, constitutes the complete plea agreement in this case. The Defendant acknowledges that there are no other agreements, promises, undertakings or understandings between the Defendant and this Office other than those set forth in this letter and the Sealed Supplement and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

If the Defendant fully accepts each and every term and condition of this agreement, please sign and have the Defendant sign the original and return it to me promptly.

Very truly yours,

Rod J. Rosenstein
United States Attorney

By: 
Antonio J. Reynolds
Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Specifically, I have reviewed the Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation with my attorney, and I do not wish to change any part of it. I am completely satisfied with the representation of my attorney.

8-24-11
Date


James Goodwin

I am James Goodwin's attorney. I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, with him. He advises me that he understands and accepts its terms. To my knowledge, his decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

8/24/2011
Date


Julie Johnson, Esq.